

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,359	12/01/2000		David Helm	CM04662H	4118
22917	7590	12/29/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROL			MEHRA,	MEHRA, INDER P	
1303 EAST /	ALGUNQ	UIN ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBI	JRG, IL	60196	2666		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/728,359	HELM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Inder P Mehra	2666	X			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	July 2004					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-6,9-12 and 14-24 is/are pending in	• •					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>2-6,9-12 and 14-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2000</u> is/a</li> </ul>		abiastad ta by the Everyine	_			
			1.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examin	G1.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,	3				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National	Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	.o priority under 00 0.0.C	35 120 ana/or 121.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( f Informal Patent Application (PTo				

Application/Control Number: 09/728,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

## Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment dated 7/22/04. Based on this amendment, claims 1, 7, 8 and 13 were cancelled previously, claims 2 (amended thrice), 3 (amended twice), 4 (amended once), 5 (amended (once), 6 (amended twice), 9 (amended twice), 10 (amended twice), 11(amended once), 12 (amended twice), 14 (amended twice), 15 (amended thrice), 16 (amended once), 17 (amended twice), 18 (amended once), 19 (amended once), 21 (amended once), and 22 (amended thrice). claims 2-6, 9-12, and 14-24 are now pending. In view of the following explanation, this office action is Non-Final.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 6, 9, 12, 15-16 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Haggerty et al** (US Patent No. 6,331,983), hereinafter Haggerty in view of **Dunstan et al** (US Patent No. 6,654,371), hereinafter, Dunstan, and **Chuah et al** (US Patent No. 6,515,994), hereinafter, Chuah.

Regarding claims 2, 12, 15-16 and 22, Haggerty discloses, in reference to fig. 5, multi-cast communication involving multi-cast switches; a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2666

- announce information to other switches---for connection set up (sending or receiving indicia, as recited in claim 2, from a sending host packets addressed to a multicast group), refer to col. 17 lines 17-22,col. 18 lines 24-26, and col. 32 lines 19-21;

Page 3

- IGMP state machine to facilitate hosts join multicast group and reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (issuing a join command to join the multicast group address) refer to col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (determining whether any packets are received), refer to col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- if a timer expires without any reports (to ensure reliably joined or not information in the table), there are no receivers for that group (if packets are received by the receiving host within the designated time period, determining that the receiving host is joined to the multicast group), refer to col. 19 lines 29-51;
- whereby the method is independent of the multicast network, refer to "Haggerty discloses explicitly, "Protocol independent Multicast-Dense Mode", refer to col. 15 line 3; "independent of spanning tree used for the multicast", refer to col. 14 lines 59-61. Further, Fig. 1 used by Haggerty is for example only, refer to col. 10 lines 40-43.

Art Unit: 2666

Haggerty does not disclose expressly if any packets are received within designated time period, determining that the receiving host is reliably joined to the multi-cast group address;

Dunstan discloses "received a group join" (join command), refer to col. 4 lines 30-35;

Chuah discloses, "determining whether any packets are received within a designated time period after the step of issuing a join command" (Interested individuals will note the <u>time</u> and date of the multicast and listen to the multicast at the <u>designated time</u> and date. Users who fail to join the multicast at the appropriate time will not receive the desired file, refer to col. 2 lines 15-30).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Dustan system and Chuah's system into Haggerty's multi-cast switching system in order to ensure the receiver having reliably joined within specified time or leave with IGMP message. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been logical to ensure the reliable join by receivers and use IGMP Leave message to leave multi-cast group.

Regarding claims 6 and 21, Haggerty discloses the method of claim 1, comprising:

message sent to all routers group address in multi-cast router network
 communication (sending/receiving messages----- the multi-cast group
 address), refer to col. 18 lines 21-36;

Regarding claim 9, Haggerty discloses, in reference to fig. 10, host can join a multi-cast group through IGMP protocol or reports (sending an IGMP join message to one or more network devices), refer to col. 14 lines 28-30, col. 17 lines 22-23 and col. 18 lines 51-52.

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Haggerty discloses, routers (gateways), refer to col. 17, line 24, internetwork 117 in fig. 1, mobile sender, refer to col. 20 line 50 (sourcing host ---selected from the group consisting of----wireless communication device);

4. Claims 3-5, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Haggerty et al**, hereinafter Haggerty, in view of Dunstan and Chuah, as above, and further in view of **Donahue et al** (US Patent No.6,266,339), hereinafter Donahue.

Regarding claims 3-5 and 17-20, Haggerty discloses video data in packet (video payload), refer to col. 10 lines 56-67;

Haggerty, Dunstan and Chuah do not disclose test packets and payload; audio payload, multimedia payload, and multiple test packets before sending payload;

Donahue discloses audio video multi-cast channel payload, col. 11 line 56 and col. 14 lines 48-51; and data payload of the multi-cast including IP address and test pattern (packets comprise sending multiple test packets before sending payload);

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Dunstan, Chuah's system, and Donahue's high bandwidth broadcasting system into Haggerty's multi-cast switching system in order to have packets include audio/video payload and test packets. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have integrated data system. It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art that the use of audio/video data transmission system is capable of multi-casting to large number of receivers.

5. Claims 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2666

Haggerty et al, hereinaster Haggerty in view of Dunstan and Chuah, as above, and further in view of Adelman et al (US Patent No.6,006,259), hereinaster Adelman.

Regarding claims 10, 11 and 14, Haggerty discloses the method of claim 2 further comprising:

- announcement signal on leaving a group (issuing a leave command) to the one or more network devices, refer to col. 21 lines 37-38;
- IGMP state machine to facilitate hosts join multicast group and reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (re-attempting to join the multicast group address) refer to col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- reliable delivery setting a timer to ensure reliably join the multicast group (determining whether any packets are received by the receiving host within a designated time period), col. 17 lines 22-24 and 39-42;
- if a timer expires without any reports, there are no receivers for that group (if packets are not received by the receiving host within the designated time period, determining that the receiving host is not reliably joined to the multicast group), refer to col. 19 lines 29-51;

Haggerty, further discloses IGMP leave message, recited in claim 11, for leaving multicast group; refer to col. 21 lines 35-38; and announces to all switches (sending an IGMP leave message to one or more local network devices), refer to col. 21 lines 35-38;

Dunstan and Chuah disclose host sending packets to the multicast address in designated time (determining whether any packets are received within a designated time period----); further

Art Unit: 2666

discloses, "if joined after the designated time interval, it is not accepted and relevant entries in the table cleared" (if packets are not received ----within the designated time period, determined that the first host is not joined ---to the group), refer to col. 2 lines 15-30

Haggerty, Dunstan and Chuah do not disclose expressly re-attempting to join the multi-cast group address, even though Haggerty discloses hosts want to join multicast groups, refer to col. 17 lines 39-42;

Adelman discloses cluster member/cluster client will try to join the cluster again (reattempting to reliably join the multi-cast group address;

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Adelman's network clustering system and Dunstan's system, Chuah's system into Haggerty's multi-cast switching system in order to ensure the receiver having reliably joined within specified time or leave with IGMP message. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have joined within designated time to ensure the reliable join by receivers and use IGMP Leave message to leave multi-cast group.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/04, in regard to claims 2-6,9-12, and 14-24 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "Applicants' have added a limitation to independent claims 2, 15, and 22 so that Applicants', claimed invention is "independent of the multicast network." Further, Applicants' argue that support for the new limitation is found in Applicants' specification.

Art Unit: 2666

In response, it is stated that this limitation is not supported by specification. Pages of specification, as specified by the Applicants', describe the devices used in fig. I. Therefore, once the network is selected, the devices will be used accordingly. The use of devices will be tailored according to user's requirements.

However, Haggerty discloses explicitly, "Protocol independent Multicast-Dense Mode", refer to col. 15 line 3; "independent of spanning tree used for the multicast", refer to col. 14 lines 59-61. Further, Fig. 1 used by Haggerty is for example only, refer to col. 10 lines 40-43.

Applicant argues, "Nowhere does Haggerty teach. suggest or make obvious----determining whether any packets are received by the first host within a designated time period
after the step of issuing a join command; and

If any packets are received by the first host within the designated time period, determining that the first host is joined to the multicast group address; otherwise, if any packets are not received by the first host within the designated time period, determining that the first host is not joined to the multicast, group address".

In response, it is stated that Dunstan and Chuah disclose host sending packets to the multicast address in designated time (determining whether any packets are received within a designated time period----); further discloses, "if joined after the designated time interval, it is not accepted and relevant entries in the table cleared" (if packets are not received ----within the designated time period, determined that the first host is not joined ---to the group), refer to Chuah's col. 2 lines 15-30.

In the light of above explanation, the arguments by applicant are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2666

#### Comments

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Inder P Mehra whose telephone number is 571-272-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Inder Pal Mehra
Examiner
Art Unit 2666

Page 9

DANG TON